

The labor movement , the historical and unbreakable impasse of reformism !

Reformism in both its blocs, the right-wing trade union and the left-wing militant party-oriented is such a prisoner of an explosive and stormy impasse. The source of the deadlock in the cycle increasing the value of global capital, lies at the heart of the capitalist mode of production. Here it must be searched, but first we have to see the dimensions of this impasse with carefully consideration. Number of members of the world's major unions over the last few decades with astonishing speed has dropped. This decline has been lower than anywhere in the Scandinavian countries, nevertheless, even in Sweden, the Holy Land of syndicalist reformism, has terrified the helmsmen of the syndicalist power ship. In 2016 more than 30,000 workers left the Swedish National Labor Organization (LO) and they haven't turned to any other syndicate or trade union organization. Withdrawal of the working masses from the trade unions, especially the distrust of the young generation of workers (sellers of workforce) towards this institution of capital order or the machine for suppressing any radical anti-capitalist struggle of the working class, in other European societies and around the world is much wider and more accelerated. The British Miners Union, in the first half of the 80s of the twentieth century, with its call lined up about 120,000 miners in a trench camp of compromising syndicalism for a whole year. The number of members of this shrine of reformist deception doesn't even reach 30,000 now. The collapse of the trade union movement around the world is dramatic and this trend is opposite of what caught the attention of people in the second half of the nineteenth century and the first half of the twentieth century. Contrary to many people's beliefs, the dynamics of the formation and rise of expansion of the trade union movement in those periods, didn't stem from the conscious anti-capitalist enthusiasm of the working class to organize or to form a united class struggle. Such a notion is full of illusions, especially with the most misleading inversions. The working masses have never, in any corner of history , been fascinated by syndicalism and haven't been intending to build syndicates and trade unions in the common sense and conventional dominant. Workers everywhere, always and from the beginning took The way of class organization formation, cohesion and fusion. They have taken this orientation since their inception, but not legalistic, not hanging on to the required version of capital and not in line of achieving consensus with the bourgeoisie, but quite the opposite, but for that in the same state of spontaneous, as far as their lives ruled and consciousness were concerned brought their class power into the field against the severity of exploitation, brutal crimes and the basis of capitalist criminal exploitation. History of the 14th and

15th centuries to the first decades of the 19th century is full of the most telling evidence of this issue. Events such as the uprising of the workers " Ciombi " in Italy and workers of British manufactories, the Thuringian movement in Molhausen Germany till the Knights in America, in addition the foundation of the radical materialist cognition of history also sufficiently confirms the undeniable truth of this claim. We have explained this issue in different places and occasions which isn't the subject our conversation here. The debate was about the germination process, expansion and the rise of the syndicalist movement. This reformist movement has taken its legitimacy and license from capital or the capitalist class. This doesn't mean that capital owners or statesmen in a glorious solitude and rational courage discovered the idea of forming syndicates and carried the banner of syndication and in meantime have forced the working masses to form a union !! Basically, it's not talking about the deception or even the politicization of the capitalists. Talk is about capital and the intellectual and ideological, legal, cultural and social products arising from the capitalist mode of production. The same basic components as ideology, human thought and values, moral and ethical, political and civil and juridical of capitalist class getting formed. Capital for certain reasons and under the pressure of its inherent needs has been forced to have to wrap the version of syndicalism and trade union movement and has made this prescription such a weapon for surviving in the realm of class struggle against the working masses and the labor movement in a large part of the world. Production order, political and civil, legal and ideological are the inseparable and natural components of the value-added cycle of capital and capitalist production's method. Purchased labour force must be consumed and produces a huge added value to the existing latent value from the formerly products of previous labour (dead work). The worker must be completely detached from his&her work, from determining the fate of work and production, from the process of determining destiny of human being and social lives and this separation must be continuously and uninterrupted reproduced. The foundation of the existence of capital is based on these principles, coercions and oughts. The determinism of existence and survival of capitalist production, which all these compulsions and coercions are turned into the law of life and conditions of human being survival. Transforming these destinies and rulings into the essence of the existence of civilization and legislation, politics and culture is one of the most vital and basic needs of capital and its accumulation. Capitalist production is as much in need of the domination and rule of these civil, legislation and social laws which require materials, machinery and all the constituents of fixed capital. The issue is simple, but capital reconstructs it with a world of inversions and the result of this deceptive inversions has become the feed of the consciousness of the

working masses. The main point is that the existence, self-expansion and survival of capitalism aren't possible without the coercive and growing repression of the labor movement. Capital can not exist without resorting to violence and massacre and falsifying this convincing truth is one of the dirties and most scandalous lies in the identity of capital relations. The relation of buying&selling labor force on the basis of its existence is the source, originator of all forms of violence, oppression and barbarism. The foundation of wage slavery is to deprive the worker of any free and humane intervention based on the destiny of work, production and product of work and life. The notion of such a system, a social relation without the most horrible formulations of repression and inhuman violence against the working class is a volcano of lies and distortions of reality. The whole debate is about the tangible , class and real meaning of repression. Economic violence is the deadliest form and foundation of all type of class wraths. Legality, voting right and democracy, civilization and the right to protest and organize, freedom of expression and th whole of what is called civil society, all together and each more than the other are the institutional tools of violence of the rule of capital to impose the separation of the worker from his&her work and to deprive them of the right to interfere in the fate of work and life. One of the great lies of the bourgeoisie and in particular with the bourgeois left-wing parties about scenario of democracy, civil rights and so called political freedom or the whole scenario of civil society is induced the whole scenario of civil society as a result of capitalism' s struggle with the monarchy and church and also the institutions of defending the pre-capitalist on the one hand and on the other hand, in the sense of the retreat of the bourgeoisie towards the labor movement. There's no doubt that there are traces of reality in these statements, but the main point is that the Leninist parties, social democracy and camp communism and their numerous sects have turned these seemingly real effects into a curtain on the main issue and thereby brainwash the working masses. The bourgeoisie certainly from the very first days of its historical appearance has been facing with the heavy and raging waves of the class struggle of working masses. According to Marx " the labor with his&her own creation , has begun his&her struggle against capital " , there was no right to strike at that time, nor was it a word about the right to protest and demonstrate, no capitalist was disturbed by the cry for " right of association " , and no " right to pension compensation " had a place in the dispute and no " right to health insurance " frightened any workshops owner. Beyond all this, something called " Labor Law " didn't darken the atmosphere of class war and campaign and the minds of neither side in the class war and didn't busy either the workers or capitalists. Not at all, " law " and " legitimacy " hadn't made their path into the current class struggle of the two warring classes in growing up and

maturing. Beyond all these, the capitalist class didn't yet have its own government and resorted to the military forces of the monarchy and feudal repression machine to suppress and kill the workers. Both sides, the workers and capitalists were fighting and the circumstance of purchase and sale of workforce, balance between necessary labour and surplus labour, all that pertains to the existence, expansion and survival of relation of surplus value producing was the subject of constant conflict and division between these two classes. The working masses didn't only tolerate the pressure of exploitation, cruelty and brutality of the capitalists but they also experience a lot of imposed injustice and oppression from feudalism in their lives. Also the capitalists weren't only at war with the working masses, but in conflict " give and take " with the monarchy and the structure of the old order too. Our discussion here is not the anatomy of history of that time, rather the present discussion is about how the two classes of capitalist and workers lined up in that period and circumstance of historical development of this conflict. We said that the law, the right to organize and strike, right to demonstrate and freedom of speech and a long list of known rights, and political order, democracy and civilization, the conventional capitalist state hadn't yet contaminated the history. Instead, there was a war which on the one hand the anti-capitalist power of workers and on the other hand the power of capital and capitalists in addition, the octopus apparatus determined the feudal monarchy were determinant. The working masses, in order to impose their demands, kept the wheel turned from producing profit and also disturbed the order of production, circulation and accumulation of capital.

Naser Paydar, translated by S. Dylan

to be continued